

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto,gov

	•				
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,333	01/16/2001	Samuel G. Armato III	198069US-20	2550	
22850 75	590 03/29/2004	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			AZARIAN, SEYED H		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2625			
		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	. \\		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. ,		Application	oplication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/759,333	3	SAMUEL G. AARMATO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Seyed Aza	rian	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠								
2a)□		is action is r	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	1) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-24,27-35,36/1-7,36/15-24,36/27-35,37/1-7,37/15-24,37/27-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-14,25,26,36/8-14,25,26 and 37/8-14</u>	<u>4,25,26</u> is/ar	e objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
··	on Papers	_						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)∏ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3,0	:		(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTO				

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-7, 15-24, 27-35, 36/1-7, 36/15-24, 36/27-35 and 37/1-7, 37/15-24, 37/27-35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Townsend et al (U.S. patent 6,490,476) in view of Jyh-Shyan Lin (an application of convolution neural network: reducing False-Positives in lung nodule detection--1995).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 1, Townsend discloses a method for automated detection of lung nodules in computed tomography (CT) image scans, comprising;

generating two-dimensional segmented lung images by segmenting a plurality of two-dimensional CT image sections derived from said CT image scans (Fig. 5A, 5B and 5C, column 17, lines 33-41, the original CT image and corresponding images after segmentation);

generating three-dimensional segmented lung volume images by combining said two dimensional segmented lung images (column 14, lines 31-43, generating a three-dimension from two-dimensional slice).

determining three-dimensional lung nodule candidates from said three-dimensional segmented lung volume images, including, identifying structures within said three dimensional segmented lung volume images that meet a volume criterion (Fig. 10A-C, demonstrate a large lesion in the lung, also column 22, lines 15-19, volume is added to the CT image and set to the average linear attenuation coefficient);

deriving features from said lung nodule candidates (Fig. 9, column 14, lines 31-47, anatomical structures, functional structures and generation spiral, CT tomograph);

and detecting lung nodules by analyzing said features (column 13, line 58 through column 14, lines 4, distinguish that the nodules is malignant or non-malignant).

However Townsend is silent about "eliminate false-positive nodule". On the other hand Jyh-Shyan Lin teaches the research efforts are aimed at increasing the true-positive fraction while decreasing the false-positive fraction (page 1842, first paragraph).

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify method of Townsend invention according to the teaching of Jyh-Shyan Lin because it provides information by reducing the numbers of false-negative and false-positive diagnoses of malignancies which decreasing patient morbidity as well as the number of surgical biopsies to achieve an accuracy and cost.

Regarding claim 2, Townsend discloses the method of Claim 1, wherein said step of generating said segmented lung images comprises the steps of: generating two-dimensional segmented thorax images by segmenting said plurality of two-dimensional CT image sections, including, applying gray-level thresholds to said CT image sections to determine thorax region contours therein (Fig. 4A, 4B, 5A and 5B, illustrates region of thorax, and column 17, lines 23-41, region of pixels classified by thresholding);

generating said two-dimensional segmented lung images by segmenting said segmented thorax images, including, applying gray-level thresholds to said segmented thorax images to determine said lung region contours therein (Fig. 10A and 10B, images of lung).

Regarding claim 3, Townsend discloses the method of Claim 2, wherein said step of generating said segmented thorax images further comprises, segmenting the trachea and main bronchi from said segmented thorax images using region growing (Fig. 13 A, column 19, line 60 through column 20, line 11, illustrated trachea).

Regarding claim 4, Townsend discloses the method of Claim 2, wherein said step of generating said segmented lung images further comprises, segmenting the diaphragm from said segmented lung images using diaphragm analysis (column 21, lines 45-58, movement of the chest wall and abdomen).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 5, Townsend discloses the method, wherein said step of generating said segmented lung volume images comprises, generating said segmented lung images at a plurality of gray levels thresholds; and combining said segmented lung images to generate segmented lung volume images at a plurality of gray levels corresponding to said gray level thresholds (Fig. 5, column 17, lines 24-41, classified pixel, using threshold).

Regarding claim 6, Townsend discloses the method of Claim 5, wherein said step of identifying said structures comprises, grouping a pixel from a given segmented lung image with one or more pixels therein one or more pixels from a segmented lung image above said given segmented lung image and one or more pixels from a segmented lung image below said given segmented lung image to determine a structure (column 18, lines 14-30 comparison of lesion in the lung).

Regarding claim 7, Townsend discloses the method of Claim 6, further comprising, using region erosion on said nodule candidates to determine if said nodule candidates comprise one or more smaller structures (column 18, lines 52-63, the contrast of the small lesion).

Regarding claim 22, Townsend discloses the method, further comprising, using region erosion on said nodule candidates to determine if said nodule candidates comprise one or more smaller structures (column 8, lines 39-58).

Regarding claim 32, Townsend discloses a method for automated analysis of features of lung nodules in computed tomography (CT) image scans, comprising, generating two-dimensional segmented lung images by segmenting a plurality of two dimensional CT image sections derived from said CT image scans, generating three-dimensional segmented lung volume images by combining said two dimensional segmented lung images, determining features

Art Unit: 2625

from said nodule candidates including at least one of structure volume, sphericity, radius of equivalent sphere, maximum compactness, maximum circularity, maximum eccentricity, mean gray level within structure, standard deviation of gray level and gray-level threshold at which. structure volume first decreases below an upper volume bound (see claim 1, also column 13, lines 58-67, normal or non-malignant tissue process by adopting a threshold, also column 14, lines 31-47, anatomical structures, functional structures and generation spiral, CT tomograph).

Regarding claims 16, 20 and 23-24, it recites similar limitation as claims 1 and 2, are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 15, 27-31, it recites similar limitation as claim 1, are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 17-19 and 21, it recites similar limitation as claims 1, 2 and 6, are similarly analyzed.

Regarding claims 33-37, it recites similar limitation as claims 1 and 14, are similarly analyzed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8-14, 25 and 26, 36/8-14, 25, 26 and 37/8-14, 25,26 are objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2625

### Other prior art cited

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. patent (5,289,374) to Doi et al is cited for method and system for analysis of false positives produced by an automated schem for the detection of lung nodules in digital chest radiographs.

U.S. patent (6,185,320) to Bick et al is cited for method and system for detection of lesions in medical images.

U.S. patent (5,974,165) to automated method and system for the alignment and correlation of images from two fidderent modalities.

U.S. patent (6,112,112) to Gilhuijs et al is cited for method and system for the assessment of tumor extent in magnetic resonance images.

U.S. patent (6,138,045) to Kupinski et al is cited for method and system for the segmentation and classification of lesions.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information about the PAIR system, see http:// pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Seyed Azarian
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2625
February 19, 2004